

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 103 610

CE 003 202

AUTHOR Brown, Brewster W.
TITLE Methods of Needs Assessment Used in Continuing Education Program Development at Two Year Colleges in the State of New York.
PUB DATE 10 Sep 74
NOTE 27p.
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.95 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS *Community Colleges; Junior Colleges; *Measurement Techniques; *Needs; *State Schools; Surveys
IDENTIFIERS *Needs Assessment; New York State

ABSTRACT

A survey instrument was mailed to 44 directors of continuing education to determine the methods of needs assessment used for State-supported two-year colleges in New York and compare the range and scope of these methods in relationship to the size of the continuing education programs. All 17 responses served as the basis for the results for three survey questions; 15 responses (two indicated directions were not understood) were further subdivided into "large" and "small" program categories based on the number of credit courses offered. Seven respondents felt their needs assessment procedures were effective; three, somewhat effective; four, ineffective; and three did not respond. A regional approach to needs assessment was thought by four to be useful; six, perhaps; and seven, no. Of the seven methods of needs assessment, informal feedback was used the most by both program categories. Other methods used were: formal citizens advisory council, analysis of State/national needs and interests, written surveys, contact with helping people, review of local mass media, and campus faculty/administration continuing education advisory council. The random sample of area population was used very little relative to less scientific methods. (A seven-page bibliography and the survey instrument are appended.) (AG)

ED103610

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

METHODS OF NEEDS ASSESSMENT USED IN CONTINUING
EDUCATION PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT AT TWO YEAR
COLLEGES IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Brewster W. Brown

September 10, 1974

Director of Community Services
and Continuing Education

Kansas Wesleyan

Salina, Kansas 67401

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

003202

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Number of Credit Courses	5
2	Number of Non-Credit Courses	5
3	Effectiveness of Present Needs Assessment Evaluation	6
4	Need for Regional Needs Assessment	6
5	Methods (And Estimated Per Cent of Use) of Assessing Needs and Interests of Individuals and the Community to Help Facilitate Program Planning in Continuing Education at Community Colleges within New York State (25 or More Credit Courses Offered) 11 in Group	8
6	Methods (And Estimated Per Cent of Use) of Assessing Needs and Interests of Individuals and the Community to Help Facilitate Program Planning in Continuing Education at Community Colleges within New York State (24 or less Credit Courses offered) 4 in Group	10

DEFINITIONS

1. Traditional Post-Secondary Educational System

A model which uses the full-time-day, 18-22 youth as a base which is oriented toward attainment of credits and degrees, and which is primarily campus-based.

2. Traditional Students in Higher Education

The white male or female (to a lesser degree) who recently finished secondary school and is expected to finish a degree in the minimum number of semesters.

3. Non-Traditional Post-Secondary Educational System

The part-time Continuing Education adult model which is oriented toward attainment of both credits/degrees and non-credit offering with both campus-based and field-based sites.

4. Needs/Demands

Needs differ from demands as strategy differs from tactics. Needs are generalized desires which only become demands when they are articulated with enough specificity that the conditions are made clear under which a person with a need will actually attend a course/program.

5. Community College/2-year College

For the purposes of this paper all non-private two-year colleges in the State of New York, including agricultural and technical colleges.

INTRODUCTION

In the last few years there has been a developing interest at the state and local level in community needs assessment and regional needs assessment as a scientific method of program development. An example of this developing interest is the money the State of New York is now expending under Title I for regional Continuing Education Studies. This attempt to develop more relevant Continuing Education Data is commendable. However, it would seem, that before the state expends additional money to develop more relevant and comprehensive methods of needs assessment, one should stop and examine the methods by which directors of continuing education offices now determine the needs of the populations they serve. Do most of the program leaders in post-secondary continuing education at this time have the resources and time to develop and implement useful and accurate needs assessment instruments? Does the use of such methods in assessing community and individual needs drop with the size of continuing education programs? If so, it would appear that the state would be doing everyone a great service, especially the smaller offices of continuing education, by using state resources to develop valid needs assessment data. This would, in turn, assist local continuing education programs to develop in directions which would better serve the citizens of the community. However, the state would be providing a great

service only if the directors (or program developers) find the data valid and use it in program development. The problem then, is not simply one of turning out continuing education informational data by region or community, but also legitimizing this data to the people for whose use it is being developed.

Malcolm Knowles in his book The Modern Practice of Adult Education states:

Studies of public-school adult-education programs quite readily group them on a spectrum ranging from those that limp along from year to year with apathetic participation at one end to those that are vibrant with activity every day of the week at the other end. From my observation of numerous programs of both types across the country, I believe I know one of the principal reasons for this difference. I predict that the directors of the first type of program build their program on the basis of what they think people ought to learn; they probably have no citizens' advisory council to clue them in as to what the people want; and they skip the second step in program planning--assessing needs and interests--entirely. On the other hand, the directors of the expensive programs probably have very active advisory councils which help them to make repeated surveys of the needs and interests of the individuals and institutions of their communities. (1970:79)

Knowles obviously feels that needs assessment is of paramount importance in developing an "expansive" and valuable program in continuing education. Brunner (1959:118) cites the need for research that takes as its starting point not the art of participation but the participant. Houle (1961:81) further challenges adult educators by stating that "the theory and practice of adult education would not progress very far until they were based on an understanding of how mature people approach the tasks and opportunities of adulthood."

Thus, three respected persons in the field of continuing education have stated a need for valid needs assessment in determination of program content. The problem, therefore, is whether continuing educators as a group actually do use random sampling survey data in program determination.

The purpose of this study was, therefore, to determine the various methods of needs assessment used by directors of continuing education for state supported two-year colleges and compare the range and scope of these methods in relationship to the size of the continuing education programs.

PROCEDURES

The hypotheses to be tested were: (1) of all methods of needs assessment or definition available, the method of formal community assessment by random sample survey instrument ranks very low in percentage of use, (2) as program size drops, so does the range and scope of methods employed in program development, and (3) many directors see no value in regional needs assessment.

To test the hypotheses, it was determined that the method which would return the greatest number and greatest variety of responses would be the direct mail survey questionnaire. A pretest of the questions and letter was given by the researcher to three continuing education directors in an attempt to develop an instrument which would list all popular methods of needs assessment at this level of post-secondary education.

The questionnaire was mailed out February 18, 1974 with the closing date for acceptance of returned data April 1, 1974. This date gave the directors approximately forty days in which to respond and return the completed survey. Of the forty-four survey instruments mailed, seventeen were returned before the deadline. Of those, two were found to be of little use (as will be described), leaving fifteen useable returns or a 34 percent response. It was not judged necessary to send a follow-up letter to the directors who did not respond, since an adequate cross-section of state two-year colleges were represented in the fifteen returned instruments. (A copy of the questionnaire and cover letter appear in the appendix.)

All seventeen returned surveys were used in analyzing the data for the first three questions. For the section of the instrument on "Methods of Needs Assessment," two of the returns were removed from further consideration when it became clear by the responses that they had not understood the directions for that section of the survey.

The number of credit courses was used in categorizing programs by size. Credit courses were used as a base because they tend to follow a more traditional pattern (meet for so many hours, so many weeks) and therefore remain better indicators of size within institutions. The number of credit courses which was arbitrarily chosen to represent a larger program was twenty-five or more while twenty-four or less was used to represent the smaller programs. Using these criteria to determine size, eleven of the responses were placed in the "large" category while four were placed into the "small" category.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In analyzing responses, a break-down in the number of credit and non-credit courses offered by those programs represented in the data may be of assistance. The range in numbers of credit courses went from 0-700 credit courses with a distribution as follows:

Table 1
NUMBER OF CREDIT COURSES

0-50	51-100	101-150	151-200	201 Plus
6	0	3	1	7

The range of non-credit courses was from 0-400 non-credit courses with a break-down as follows:

Table 2
NUMBER OF NON-CREDIT COURSES

0-50	51-100	101-150	151-200	201 Plus
9	4	2	0	2

It is clear from this data that a good cross-section as to size was represented in the returns.

The second survey question was: Do you find your present assessment procedures effective in determining individual needs and interests? While the results would be far

from impartial, they should at least give an idea of how the directors perceived the effectiveness of their assessment procedures. A break-down on responses to this question is as follows:

Table 3

EFFECTIVENESS OF PRESENT NEEDS ASSESSMENT EVALUATION

EFFECTIVE	NOT EFFECTIVE	SOME WAYS EFFECTIVE	NO ANSWER
7	4	3	3

Examination of this data suggests that half of the directors responding are satisfied with their present methods of needs assessment. One must note, however, that such self-evaluation could be less than impartial when one considers the fact that the responders did not know how the data would be used and may have been hesitant to respond in a negative manner.

The third question asked on the survey was: Would a regional approach to needs assessment be of assistance to you in your pr gram? The responses to this question were as follows:

Table 4

NEED FOR REGIONAL NEEDS ASSESSMENT

YES A NEED	NO NEED	MAYBE A NEED	NO ANSWER
4	7	6	0

It appears that the sentiment behind the responses to this question may be hardest to overcome in developing a system of use for the data which a regional needs assessment study will produce. It is one thing to produce data which is valid and useful both to the local directors and to the region or state, but quite another to be producing data which flows only toward the state level of planning. For data to gain acceptance among those who will ultimately be asked to use it in program development, the regions and the state must gain local support for the regional method of needs assessment. This local support is not currently present as evidenced by the response to the question on Regional Needs Assessment (Table 4).

In determining the general areas of formal and informal needs assessment at the Community College, seven separate areas were identified. They are as follows:

1. Formal Citizens Advisory Council.
2. Analysis of State/National need and interests.
3. General Written Surveys.
4. Contact with helping people (Librarian, Social Workers, Vista Workers).
5. Informal feedback from other individuals or groups in the community.
6. Review of Local Mass Media.
7. Campus Faculty/Administration C.E. Advisory Council.

These general methods were proven to be comprehensive as evidenced by the response to an 8th category on the survey which was listed as "Other" (Table 5 and Table 6). The total

response in this area totalled only about one per cent of all the methods used, which would indicate that the other seven methods covered the valid types of needs assessment used by the directors responding.

Table 5

METHODS (AND ESTIMATED PER CENT OF USE) OF ASSESSING NEEDS AND INTERESTS OF INDIVIDUALS AND THE COMMUNITY TO HELP FACILITATE PROGRAM PLANNING IN CONTINUING EDUCATION AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE (25 OR MORE CREDIT COURSES OFFERED) 11 IN GROUP

A Percent you use for each method in determination of final program	B Methods (with sub-areas)
13.64% Range 0%-40% N = 9	1) Formal Citizens Advisory Council 69.00% Formal individual and Community feedback 16.50% Formal surveys of individual/Community needs 14.50% Other
10.45% Range = 0%-20% N = 10	2) Analysis of state/ national patterns of needs and interests 16.00% National Mass Media 31.50% Professional Publications 41.50% Professional Conferences and workshops 11.00% other
10.45% Range 0%-20% N = 8	3) General Written surveys developed by College 2.50% Offered at Registration 12.00% Random Sample of Area Population 68.00% Offered in C.E. classes 14.00% Other

Table 5 (Continued)

15.00%	Range 0%-30% N = 10	4) Contact with "Helping People" within the Community (Librarians, Social Workers, VISTA Workers, etc.) 33.50% Formal 56.50% Informal
27.73%	Range 20%-50% N = 11	5) Informal feedback from other individuals, civic groups and industry in the community 31.40% Individuals 16.80% Civic Groups 23.20% Industry 27.70% Institutions (Schools, Hospitals, B.O.C.E.S.)
9.55%	Range 0%-30% N = 10	6) Review of local Mass Media
10.91%	Range 0%-25% N = 9	7) Campus Faculty/Administration C.E. Advisory Council
1.36%	Range 0%-10% N = 2	8) Others not listed above

The information contained in Table 5 represents the responses received from the community colleges which offered 25 or more credit courses through continuing education. The range is the range of individual method use per method. The N is the number of directors using the individual methods and should be compared to 11 which is the number of programs in the larger size range.

Table 6

METHODS (AND ESTIMATED PER CENT OF USE) OF ASSESSING NEEDS
AND INTERESTS OF INDIVIDUALS AND THE COMMUNITY TO HELP
FACILITATE PROGRAM PLANNING IN CONTINUING EDUCATION
AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE
(24 OR LESS CREDIT COURSES OFFERED) 4 IN GROUP

A Percent you use for each method in determination of final program	B Methods (with sub-areas)
0.00% Range = 0%-0% N = 0	1) Formal Citizens Advisory Council 0% Formal individual and community feedback 0% Formal surveys of Individual/Community needs 0% Other
8.75% Range = 0%-15% N = 2	2) Analysis of state/ national patterns of needs and interests 46.50% National Mass Media 16.50% Professional Publications 36.5% Professional conferences and workshops 0.00% Other
11.25% Range = 0%-20% N = 3	3) General written surveys developed by College 0.00% offered at registration 40.00% Random Sample of area Population 60.00% Offered in C. E. classes 0.00% Other
11.25% Range = 5%-20% N = 4	4) Contact with "Helping People" within the Community (Librarians, Social Workers, VISTA Workers, etc.) 7.50% Formal 92.50% Informal

Table 6 (Continued)

38.75%	Range = 20%-90% N = 4	5) Informal feedback from other individuals, civic groups and industry in the community 45.00% Individuals 20.00% Civic Groups 17.00% Industry 17.00% Institutions (Schools, Hospitals, B.O.C.E.S.)
9.69%	Range = 0%-20% N = 2	6) Review of local Mass Media
22.50%	Range = 0%-60% N = 2	7) Campus Faculty/ Administration C.E. Advisory Council
0.00%	Range = 0%-0% N = 0	8) Others not listed above

The information contained in Table 6 represents the responses received from community colleges which offered 24 or fewer credit courses through continuing education. The range is the range of individual method use per method. The N is the number of directors using the individual methods and should be compared to four which is the number of programs in the smaller size range.

In reviewing the data, one can see patterns which show a general lack of use of survey (individual or community) data in determining program content. In the case of the larger programs (Table 5), any form of survey of needs within the community is only used 15.36 per cent of the time in determining

the final program. This can be reduced even further by breaking the methods down into sub-areas. Then (Table 5-Number 3) only 12 per cent of the General Written Surveys used are of a random sample of area population.

When looking at the total program, then, we see random sample surveys of the area population needs used as a method less than two per cent of the time. In the smaller programs, the percentage of random sample surveys comes out to but four per cent. These percentages bring home the message that as a method of program determination, the random sample of area population is used very little in relation to less scientific methods (i.e., informal feedback 27.73 per cent for the large group, 38.75 per cent for the small group).

In reviewing the results of the survey, it is evident that random sample survey ranks very low in use for program development. With this general lack of use, it would seem that along with any new system of needs assessment, a valid attempt should be made to legitimize the data in the eyes of the persons who eventually should use the data. If this is not done, a whole program of regional needs assessment (or any for that matter) will do nothing more than add to the paper shortage. With this legitimization should come a higher percentage of use of the data which, in turn, would, as Houle suggested, allow the practice of adult education to progress.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adler, Mortimer J., and Milton Mayer. The Revolution in Education. University of Chicago Press, 1958.
- Adolfson, L. H. "The University's Role in Adult Education," Adult Education, V:4 (Summer, 1955), pp. 231-232.
- Barbash, Jack. Universities and Unions in Workers' Education. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1965.
- Benjamin, Harold. "The University's Responsibility in Adult Education," Educational Method, XVII:6 (March 1939), pp. 300-302.
- Benne, Kenneth D. "Adult Education in the University," Journal of Higher Education. "A Primitive Look at the University System," XXVII:8 (November 1956), pp. 413-418; "Role of Adult Education in University Affairs," XXVII:9 (December 1956), pp. 467-470.
- Board of Regents of the University of the State of New York. Education Beyond High School: The Regents Statewide Plan for the Development of Post-Secondary Education, 1972. Albany: The State Education Department, November 1, 1972.
- Brownell, Baker. The College and the Community. New York: Harper and Row, 1952.
- Brunner, Edmond deS., et al., An Overview of Adult Education Research. Washington: Adult Education Association of the U.S.A., 1959, p. 118.
- Burrell, John Angus. A History of Adult Education at Columbia University: University Extension and the School of General Studies. New York: Columbia University Press, 1948.
- Bushnell, D. S. Organizing for change: New Priorities for Community College. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- Carey, James T. The Development of the University Evening College. A Research Report. Chicago: Center for the Study of the Liberal Education for Adults, 1961.
- Carey, James T. Forms and Forces in University Adult Education. A Research Report. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1961.
- Cass, Angelica W. Basic Education for Adults. New York: Association Press, 1970.
- Chamberlain, Leo M. "Should Our Colleges and Universities Rethink the Objectives in Adult Education?" Current Issues in Higher Education, 1954, pp. 242-243.

- Chambers, M. M. Above High School. Danville, Illinois: The Interstate Publishers, 1970.
- Charters, Alexander N. "A Role of Adult Educators in a University," Adult Education. VIII:2 (Winter, 1958), pp. 81-86.
- Clark, Harold, et al. The Pursuit of the Solvency for Higher Education in the U.S.A. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company. 1959.
- Coates, Robert H. "Preparing Adults for Rapid Change," N.E.A. Journal, December 1966.
- Commission on Non-Traditional Study. Diversity by Design. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1973.
- Conley, William H. "The University's Role in Adult Education," Journal of Higher Education, XXVI:1 (January 1955), pp. 14-17.
- Cooper, Leland R. "The Use of Lay Advisory Committees in Junior College Programs," Adult Leadership, Vol. 17, No. 10, April 1969, p. 413.
- Cotton, Webster E. On Behalf of Adult Education: A Historical Examination of the Supporting Literature. Boston, Mass.: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults. 1968.
- Crimi, James E. Adult Education in the Liberal Arts Colleges. Notes and Essays on Education for Adults, No. 17. Chicago; Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1957.
- Deane, Stephen R. "Who Seeks Adult Education and Why?" Adult Education I:1 (October, 1950), pp. 18-25.
- Donahue, Wilma. Education for Later Maturity. New York: William Morrow and Company, Inc., 1955.
- Dyer, John P. Ivory Towers in the Market Place: The Evening College in American Education. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill Company, 1956.
- Ely, Mary L. (Ed.) Handbook of Adult Education in the United States. New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1948.
- Essert, Paul L. Creative Leadership of Adult Education. New York: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1951.
- Farmer, Martha L. (Ed.) Student Personnel Services for Adults in Higher Education. Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow Press, 1967.

- Farmer, Martha L. (Ed.) Counseling Services for Adults in Higher Education. Metuchen, New Jersey: Scarecrow Press, Inc., 1971.
- Fisher, Charles A., Knute O. Broady, and Cyril O. Houle. Adult Education in the Modern University: A Preliminary Report by a Special Committee of the National University Extension Association. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1949.
- Fraser, W. R. Residential Education. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1968.
- Gallagher, Buell G. "The Meaning and Mission of Higher Education," Current Issues in Higher Education, 1955, pp. 1-11.
- Garside, Donald. "Short Term Residential Colleges: Their Origins and Value," Studies in Adult Education, I (April 1969).
- Glenny, Lyman. "Pressures on Higher Education," College and University Journal, Vol. 12, No. 4, September 1973, 5 pp.
- Gordon, Morton. "The Meaning of University Level," Adult Education, X:1 (Autumn, 1959), pp. 22-26.
- Graney, Maurice. "General Extension Courses: Their Place in Publicly Supported Institutions of Higher Education," Journal of Higher Education, XXVIII:1 (January, 1957), pp. 10-14, 57-58.
- Hallenbeck, Wilbur C. (Ed.) Psychology of Adults. Chicago: Adult Education Association, 1963.
- Hand, Samuel E. An Outline of a Community Survey for Program Planning in Adult Education. Tallahassee: Florida State Department of Education, Bulletin 71 F-2, (April 1968).
- Harlacher, E. L. The Community Dimension of the Community College. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1969.
- Harlacher, Ervin L. "The Community Dimension of the Community College," Adult Leadership, Vol. 16, No. 8, February 1968, p. 285.
- Haygood, Kenneth. The University and Community Education. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1962.
- Hesburgh, Theodore M., Miller, Paul A., and Wharton, Clifton R., Jr. Patterns for Lifelong Learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1973.
- Hill, Daniel J. "Can Cooperative Extension and Community College Work Together," Journal of Extension, p. 8, (Winter 1970).

- Hoppe, William A. Policies and Practices in Evening Colleges, 1971. Metuchen, N.J.: The Scarecrow Press, 1972.
- Houle, Cyril O. "Community Education Services As An Emerging Function of Higher Education," The Community Responsibilities of Institutions of Higher Learning. Vol. XX, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1948, pp. 5-13.
- Houle, Cyril O., Gordon W. Blackwell, Horace M. Kallen, and James B. Whipple. "The Continuing Task: Reflections On A Purpose in Higher Continuing Education." Boston, Mass.: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1967.
- Houle, Cyril O. "The Evening College: Its Purposes and Its Relationships within the University." Journal of Higher Education. XXV:7 (October 1954), pp. 362-372, 398-399.
- Houle, Cyril O. "Major Trends in Higher Adult Education," Notes and Essays on Education for Adults. No. 24. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1959.
- Houle, Cyril O. Residential Continuing Education. Syracuse, New York: Syracuse University Press, 1971.
- Houle, Cyril O. "University Adult Education in the United States," University Quarterly, 6:3(May, 1952), pp. 277-286.
- Hunsaker, Herbert C. "What Are the Responsibilities of Higher Education of Adults?" Current Issues in Higher Education, 1955, pp. 85-90.
- Johnstone, John W. C. and Rivera Ramon J. Volunteers for Learning: A Study of the Educational Pursuits of American Adults. Chicago, Illinois: Aldine 1962.
- Kallen, Horace M. "Needs of the Individual," Purposes of the Evening College: Reflections in 1953. James B. Whipple, Ed. Boston: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1967. p. 17.
- Kenpfer, Homer. Adult Education. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1955.
- Kenpfer, Homer. "Identifying Educational Needs and Interests of Adults," Adult Education. Vol. 2, No. 1, October 1953.
- Kerrison, Irvine L. H. Workers Education at the University Level. New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 1951.
- Kidd, J. R. How Adults Learn. New York: Association Press, 1959.
- Klein, P. E., and Moffit, R. E. Counseling Techniques in Adult Education. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1946.

Knowles, Malcolm S. Handbook of Adult Education in the United States. Chicago: Adult Education Association of the U.S.A., 1960.

Knowles, Malcolm S. Higher Adult Education in the United States: The Current Picture, Trends, and Issues. Washington, D. C.: American Council on Education, 1969.

Knowles, Malcolm S. The Adult Education Movement in the United States. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1962.

Knowles, Malcolm S. The Modern Practice of Adult Education: Androgogy versus Pedagogy, New York: Association Press, 1970.

Koch, Moses S., and Saul Libenstein. "A Community College Attracts the Aging," Junior College Journal XXXV (October 1964), pp. 26-29.

Kuhlen, Raymond G. Psychological Backgrounds of Adult Education. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1963.

Lacognata, A. A. "A Comparison of the Effectiveness of Adult Residential and Non-Residential Learning Situations," Research Reports. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1961.

Latta, Everette Michael. "Adult Education and the Comprehensive Community College," Adult Leadership. Vol. 19, No. 7, January 1971, p. 213.

Leys, Wayne A. R. "The Two Roles of the University in Adult Education," Journal of Higher Education, XXVI:1 (January 1955), pp. 12-19.

Liveright, A. A. A Study of Adult Education in the United States. Boston: 1968. Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults.

Liveright, A. A. "Adult Education in Colleges and Universities," Handbook of Adult Education. Ed. Malcolm S. Knowles. Chicago: Adult Education Association of the U.S.A., 1960.

Liveright, A. A. "The Role of the University in An Undirected Study," Adult Education, XII (Autumn, 1961), pp. 33-41.

Loosely, Elizabeth. Residential Adult Education: A Canadian Voice. Canadian Association for Adult Education, 1960.

Massachusetts Advisory Council on Education. Strengthening the Alternative Postsecondary Education System: Continuing and Part-Time Study in Massachusetts. A two-volume report to the Council by University Consultants, Inc., George J. Nolfi, President. Boston: The Council, September 1973.

- McGhee, Paul A. "Higher Education And Adult Education: Four Questions," Current Issues in Higher Education, 1953. pp. 196-206.
- McMahon, E. E. The Emerging Evening College. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, 1960.
- Medsker, Leland L., and Dale Tillery. Breaking the Access Barriers: A Profile of Two-Year Colleges. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1971.
- Mezirow, J. D., and Berry, Dorothea (Eds.) The Literature of Liberal Adult Education, 1945-1957. New York: Scarecrow Press, Inc., 1960.
- Miller, Harry L. Participation of Adults in Education: A Force-Field Analysis. Boston: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1967.
- Miller, Harry L. Teaching and Learning in Adult Education. New York: Macmillan Company, 1969.
- Monroe, Charles R. Profile of the Community College. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Inc., 1972.
- Olds, Edward B. "How Adult Education Can Help in Meeting the Higher Education Needs of the Rising College-Age Population," Educational Record, 36:3 (July 1955), pp. 229-239.
- Pearl, Arthur, and Riessman, Frank. New Careers for the Poor: The Nonprofessional in Human Services. New York: Free Press, 1965.
- Peterson, Renee, and William Peterson. University Adult Education: A Guide to Policy. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1960.
- Pitkin, Royce B. The Residential School in American Adult Education. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1956.
- Reynolds, James W. "Community Colleges and Studies of Communities," Journal College Journal. Volume XXXI (October 1960), p. 63.
- Shaw, Nathan C. (Ed.) Administration of Continuing Education: A Guide for Administration. Washington, D.C.: National Association for Public School Adult Education, 1969.
- Smith, Robert M., George F. Aker, and J. R. Kidd (Ed.), Handbook of Adult Education. New York: The Macmillan Co., 1970.
- Siegle, Peter E. (Ed.) The University's Responsibility for the General Education of Adults. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1955.

Siegle, Peter E., and James B. Whipple. New Directions in Programming for University Adult Education. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1957.

Stern, Milton R. "Trends and Tangents: Continuing Education," Journal of Higher Education (April 1968), pp. 230-233.

Thompson, Clarence H. (Ed.) College Personnel Services for the Adult. Des Moines, Iowa: Drake University Press, 1968.

University of Wisconsin. President's Study on New Market Students. Madison: University of Wisconsin, September 1973.

Venn, Grant. Man, Education and Work, Postsecondary Vocational and Technical Education. Washington, D.C.: American Council on Education, 1964.

Verner, Coolie, and Alan Booth. Adult Education. Washington, D.C.: Center for Applied Research in Education, Inc., 1964.

Verner, Coolie, and White, Thurman (Eds.) The Nature of Adult Participation, Participants in Adult Education. Washington, D.C.: Adult Education Association of the U.S.A., 1965.

Whipple, James B. (Ed.) Purposes of the Evening College: Reflections in 1953. Boston: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1967.

Williams, Robert. Post Secondary Part-Time, Continuing and Adult Education in New York State: Information Needs for Planning at the State Level. (Draft of a staff paper for the State Education Department, New York: January 2, 1974), p. 1.

Zahn, Jane C. "Differences Between Adults and Youth Affecting Learning," Adult Education. Vol. XVII:2 (Winter 1967), p. 67.

*The Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults no longer exists, but the literature it generated is still available through the Library of Continuing Education at Syracuse University.

STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE AT BROCKPORT / state university of new york
brockport, new york 14420



Faculty of Education
Office of the Dean

APPENDIX 1

February 18, 1974

Dear Director:

I am a teaching assistant in Education Administration (Community College Emphasis) at State University College Brockport.

I am researching the various methods of assessing the needs and interests of individuals and of the community, which in turn facilitate better program planning in Continuing Education. My study will include all departments of Continuing Education within the State Community College system. Your completion of the enclosed short survey would greatly assist me in this endeavor. All information I receive from individual institutions will be used in general data on all Community Colleges in the State with no identification or comparison of the individual institutions possible.

If you have any examples of instruments for assessing needs and interests within your community or any further information which you feel would be of assistance to me, please feel free to return it when you return the survey.

Cordially,

Brewster W. Brown
Teaching Assistant
253 Hartwell
S.U.C. Brockport
Brockport, New York 14420

BWB:dg
Enc.

1. What the approximate size and budget in Continuing Education is for this year.

_____ # of Credit Courses

_____ # of Non Credit Courses

_____ Budget

_____ Budget

2. Do you find your present assessment procedures effective in determining individual needs and interests? _____. If answer is no, what changes could make assessment more effective? (answer on back.)

3. Would a regional approach to needs assessment be of assistance to you in your program? _____

METHODS (AND ESTIMATED PERCENT OF USE) OF ASSESSING NEEDS AND INTERESTS OF INDIVIDUALS AND THE COMMUNITY TO HELP FACILITATE PROGRAM PLANNING IN CONTINUING EDUCATION AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE

A

Percent you use for each method in determination of your final program.

B

Methods (with sub areas)

_____ %

- 1) Formal Citizens Advisory Council
_____ % Formal individual and community feedback
_____ % Formal surveys of Individual/Community needs
_____ % Other

_____ %

- 2) Analysis of state/national patterns of needs and interests
_____ % National Mass Media
_____ % Professional Publications
_____ % Professional conferences & workshops
_____ % Other

_____ %

- 3) General written surveys developed by College
_____ % Offered at Registration
_____ % Random Sample of Area Population.
_____ % Offered in C.E. classes
_____ % Other

26

_____ %

- 4) Contact with "Helping People" within the Community (Librarians, Social Workers, VISTA Workers, etc.)
_____ % Formal
_____ % Informal

_____ %

- 5) Informal feedback from other individuals, civic groups and industry in the community.
_____ % Individuals
_____ % Civic Groups
_____ % Industry
_____ % Institutions (Schools, Hospitals, B.O.C.E.S.)

_____ %

- 6) Review of local Mass Media

_____ %

- 7) Campus Faculty/Administration C.E. Advisory Council

Percent you use for each method in
determination of your final program.

B
Methods (with sub areas)

_____ %	1) Formal Citizens Advisory Council _____ % Formal individual and community feedback _____ % Formal surveys of Individual/Community needs _____ % Other
_____ %	2) Analysis of state/national patterns of needs and interests _____ % National Mass Media _____ % Professional Publications _____ % Professional conferences & workshops _____ % Other
_____ %	3) General written surveys developed by College _____ % Offered at Registration _____ % Random Sample of Area Population _____ % Offered in C.E. classes _____ % Other
_____ %	4) Contact with "Helping People" within the Community (Librarians, Social Workers, VISTA Workers, etc.) _____ % Formal _____ % Informal
_____ %	5) Informal feedback from other individuals, civic groups and industry in the community. _____ % Individuals _____ % Civic Groups _____ % Industry _____ % Institutions (Schools, Hospitals, B.O.C.E.S.)
_____ %	6) Review of local Mass Media
_____ %	7) Campus Faculty/Administration C.E. Advisory Council
_____ %	8) Others not listed above _____
_____ %	TOTAL

NOTE: Please attempt to have percentages in Column A total 100%. Also for individual methods, it would be of help if the sub areas of the method totaled 100%.